



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,830	04/14/2004	Nada S. Jiddou	GP-304688	5641

7590 04/13/2007  
ANTHONY LUKE SIMON  
General Motors Corporation  
300 Renaissance Center, Mail Code 482-C23-B21  
P.O. Box 300  
Detroit, MI 48265-3000

EXAMINER
----------

KAYES, SEAN PHILLIP

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2833

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/13/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/823,830		JIDDOU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sean Kayes		2841	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 26-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some    \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 26, 28-31, 33-35, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito (US 20020181333.)

3. With respect to claim 26 Ito discloses a method for determining a time zone based date and time of a vehicle from a time zone reference signal comprising the steps of:

- receiving a signal at a telematics device (step 160 figure 10), the signal including a time correction;
- determining a local Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) correction (step 162 figure 10) from the signal;
- storing (step 163 figure 10) the local UTC correction; and
- calculating local time (step 102 figure 4) from the stored local UTC correction and a UTC time.

The terminology CDMA does not carry patentable weight as it defines the nature of a signal and signals are not patentable subject matter. The Ito reference does teach receiving a wireless signal from a wireless base station.

4. With respect to claim 28 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of receiving a signal further comprises receiving a signal (step 160 figure 10) having the UTC time and the time correction (steps 161-163 figure 10.)
5. With respect to claim 29 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of determining a local UTC correction from the signal comprises receiving a time and determining the local UTC time by taking the difference between the UTC time and the time (step 162 figure 10 and paragraph 187 page 9.)
6. With respect to claim 30 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of determining a local UTC correction from the signal comprises setting the local UTC time correction equal to the time correction (figure 2 and step 102 figure 4.)
7. With respect to claim 31 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of storing the local UTC correction comprises storing the local UTC correction in a location selected from the group consisting of an in-vehicle memory (30 figure 1), a web-hosting portal database, and a communication services database.
8. With respect to claim 33 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of receiving a signal at a telematics device comprises receiving a signal (step 160 figure 10) on occurrence of an initial telematics device configuration event (being set to "automatic mode" and/or the initial time correction operation.)

9. With respect to claim 34 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of receiving a CDMA signal at a telematics device comprises receiving a signal (step 160 figure 10) on occurrence of an initial telematics device configuration event (being set to "automatic mode" and/or arrival of a base station signal.)

10. With respect to claim 35 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of receiving a signal at a telematics device comprises receiving a signal (step 160 figure 10) on occurrence of an initial telematics device reconfiguration event (the act of performing the time correction method defines a reconfiguration event and/or setting to a new mode.)

11. With respect to claim 37 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of receiving a signal at a telematics device comprises receiving a signal on occurrence of a system triggered event (performing a time update and/or changing of operation mode.)

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 27 and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito in view of Brunts.

14. With respect to claim 27 Ito discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the time correction is received at the telematics device from a wireless carrier system.

Ito does not teach UTC time is received at the telematics device from a Global Positioning System (GPS) signal.

Brunts teaches determining a UTC correction from a GPS signal. Brunts additionally teaches the necessity for a backup time correction method in the event the other method is unavailable, such as when a signal is not available.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide Ito's invention with a capacity to receive a UTC time from a GPS satellite.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so would be to provide a backup for Ito's invention in the event that a base station signal is unavailable.

15. With respect to claim 38 Ito discloses a method for determining a time zone based date and time of a vehicle from a time zone reference signal, comprising the steps of:

- receiving a Universal Coordinated Time signal and a time correction from a wireless carrier system (step 160 figure 10);
- determining a local UTC correction from the time correction (step 162 figure 10);
- storing a local UTC correction (step 163 figure 10); and

- calculating local time by applying the stored local UTC correction (figure 2 and step 102 figure 4) to the UTC time.

The terminology CDMA does not carry patentable weight as it defines the nature of a signal and signals are not patentable subject matter. The Ito reference does teach receiving a wireless signal from a wireless base station.

Ito does not disclose receiving a UTC time from a Global Positioning System (GPS.) Brunts teaches determining a UTC correction from a GPS signal. Brunts additionally teaches the necessity for a backup time correction method in the event the other method is unavailable, such as when a signal is not available.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide Ito's invention with a capacity to receive a UTC time from a GPS satellite. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would be to provide a backup for Ito's invention in the event that a base station signal is unavailable.

16. With respect to claim 39 Ito discloses the method of claim 38, wherein the step of determining a local UTC correction from the base station time correction comprises:

- receiving (step 160 figure 10) a time via the wireless carrier system; and
- computing (step 162 figure 10) the difference between the UTC time and base station time.

17. With respect to claim 40 Ito discloses the method of claim 38, wherein the step of determining a local UTC correction from the base station time correction comprises

setting the local UTC time correction equal to the base station time correction (step 162 figure 10 and paragraph 187 page 9.)

18. With respect to claim 41 Ito discloses the method of claim 38, wherein the step of storing the local UTC correction comprises storing the local UTC correction in a location selected from the group consisting of an in-vehicle memory (30 figure 1), a webhosting portal database, and a communications services database.

19. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito in view of Schick (US 20020059075.)

With respect to claim 32 Ito discloses the method of claim 26.

Ito does not disclose the step of scheduling mobile vehicle communication system activities between a call center and the telematics device based on the calculated local time.

Schick teaches downloading vehicle information (page 4 paragraph 34.) Schick teaches downloading said data during times of ideal data link availability (paragraph 34 lines 27-30, the last 4 lines.)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine Schick's data transfer protocol with Ito's method of determining correct time. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would be to monitor a vehicle in use as taught by Schick.



Art Unit: 2841

20. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito in view of DiLodovico (US 6882912.)

21. With respect to claim 36 Ito discloses the method of claim 26.

Ito does not teach the step receiving a base station signal at a telematics device comprises receiving a base station signal on occurrence of a vehicle triggered event.

DiLodovico teaches receiving a base station signal at a telematics device on occurrence of a vehicle triggered event, namely a collision.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to configure Ito's device to receiving a base station signal at a telematics device comprises receiving a base station signal on occurrence of a vehicle triggered event as taught by DiLodovico.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so would be to record the time of a vehicle triggered event, as taught by DiLodovico.

### ***Response to Arguments***

22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean Kayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-8931. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bradley Paula can be reached on (571) 272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SK  
3/26/2007



Vit Miska  
Primary Examiner